

Message Text

MRN: 1978PEKING000825 SEGMENT NUMBER: 000001 ERROR READING TEXT INDEX

FILE; TELEGRAM TEXT FOR THIS SEGMENT IS UNAVAILABLE

ADP179

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PEKING 00825 02 OF 04 280723Z

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W

-----010051 280725Z /10

P 280600Z MAR 78

FM USLO PEKING

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0241

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 4 PEKING 0825

EXDIS

BENTSEN - WHY DOES THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA LEAVE
INTACT ITS TREATY WITH THE POLAR BEAR? WHY HASN'T IT
BEEN TERMINATED?

HAO - THAT TREATY IS NOT REALLY IN EXISTENCY ANY LONGER; IT HAS
NO MEANING. IT EXISTS ONLY NOMINALLY, NOT IN REALITY.

BENTSEN - HAS IT BEEN FORMALLY TERMINATED?

HAO - NO. BUT IT EXISTS ONLY NOMINALLY.

REUSS - I KNOW THAT YOUR REMARKS WERE MEANT SERIOUSLY.
YOU POINTED OUT THE EXPANSIONIST INTENT OF THE
POLAR BEAR, THAT THE SOVIETS ARE INCREASING THEIR WAR
EXPENDITURES, AND THAT THEY ARE UNRELIABLE IN DISARMAMENT
MATTERS. YOU NOTED THE INCREASED MILITARY SPENDING
OF THE US AND ASKED FOR MORE. YOU POINTED OUT THE USSR
IS NOT LIKELY TO GIVE RELIABLE ASSURANCE OF REDUCING
ARMS. THIS IS A GLOOMY PICUTRE. DO YOU GIVE SERIOUS
THOUGHT TO THE LIKELIHOOD OF WAR BETWEEN THE UNITED
STATES AND THE USSR?

HAO - THERE IS SUCH A POSSIBILITY. IT IS POSSIBLE THAT
YOUR COUNTRY WILL HAVE A WAR WITH THE SOVIETS. IT
IS ALSO POSSIBLE THAT WE WILL HAVE A WAR WITH THE
SOVIETS, OR THAT WESTERN EUROPE WILL HAVE A WAR WITH
THEM. BUT IF ANY SUCH WAR BREAKS OUT, IT WILL BE A
WORLD WAR. I DON'T SAY SUCH A WAR WILL HAPPEN RIGHT NOW.
THERE MAY NOT BE WAR IN 5 OR 6 YEARS TIME, BUT WE CAN'T
BE CERTAIN ABOUT 8 TO 10 YEARS. WHY NOT? PRIMARILY

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PEKING 00825 02 OF 04 280723Z

BECAUSE THE SOVIETS HAVE NOT YET COMPLETED THEIR PREPARATIONS
AND STRATEGIC DEPLOYMENTS ON A GLOBAL SCALE.

IF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND THE PEOPLES OF ALL COUNTRIES
IN THE WORLD UNDERTAKE TO OBSTRUCT SOVIET STRATEGIC

DEPLOYMENTS WHEREVER THEY ARE TRYING TO MAKE THEM,
THEN PERHAPS WE WILL SUCCEED IN PUTTING OFF THE OUTBREAK
OF WAR. IF WE DO NOT ACT THIS WAY BUT LET
SOVIET STRATEGIC DEPLOYMENTS AND WAR PREPARATIONS
SUCCEED, AND IF WE ALSO ADOPT A POLICY OF CONNIVANCE
AND APPEASEMENT POLITICALLY, MILITARY, AND
ECONOMICALLY, THE THE OUTBREAK OF WAR MAY BE ACCELERATED.
IN THE HORN OF AFRICA, FOR INSTANCE, THE SOVIETS, AFTER
HAVING BEEN DRIVEN OUT OF SOMALIA, MANAGED TO SUCCEED
IN ETHIOPIA WHERE THEY SHOULD HAVE MET WITH DEFEAT.
BUT BECAUSE SOME PEOPLE FOLLOWED THE APPROACH OF APPEASEMENT
AND CONCILIATION, THEY ARE STILL THERE.

MICHEL - CHINA HAS GREAT CREDIBILITY WITH THE PEOPLE OF
AFRICA, SO YOU MUST BE CONCERNED ABOUT THE SOVIET USE
OF CUBAN TROOPS AS SURROGATES THERE. WOULD YOU BE PREPARED TO
ASSIST OUR GOVERNMENT IN NEUTRALIZING THAT DANGER?

HAO - YOU ARE A MUCH MORE POWERFUL NATION THAN WE ARE.
WE ARE A VERY POOR COUNTRY. WHEN YOU GO TO THE PROVINCES,
YOU WILL SEE THAT.

MICHEL - WHAT I HAD IN MIND WAS THE INFLUENCE OF YOUR WORDS. OFTEN
YOU ARE MORE ACCEPTABLE TO THOSE COUNTRIES THAN WE ARE.

HAO - THAT MAY BE TRUE. WE PERHAPS HAVE A BETTER
REPUTATION, BECAUSE WE TREAT ALL COUNTRIES, BIG OR
SMALL, ON AN EQUAL FOOTING. AS FOR OUR ECONOMIC
ASSISTANCE TO THOSE COUNTRIES. THIS IS RATHER
INSIGNIFICANT. BUT WE OFTEN VOICE OUR POLITICAL SUPPORT
FOR THE PEOPLES OF AFRICA, LAY BARE THE TRUE FEATURES
OF THE POLAR BEAR, AND SHOW ITS TRUE COLORS TO PEOPLE
THROUGHOUT THE WORLD. WE TRY TO DO OUR UTMOST IN THIS
CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PEKING 00825 02 OF 04 280723Z

RESPECT. THROUGH NEWSPAPERS AND BROADCASTS, EVERY
DAY WE EXPOSE THE SOVIET UNION. AND MORE IMPORTANTLY,
THE SOVIETS EXPOSE THEMSELVES BY THEIR OWN DEEDS. AS
A RESULT, MORE AND MORE PEOPLE IN THE WORLD ARE COMING
TO REALIZE THE TRUE FEATURES OF SOVIET EXPANSIONISM.
LEHMAN - YOU SAY THAT YOU WANT TO WORK TO PREVENT
SOVIET EXPANSIONISM. THE ONE COUNTRY IN THE MIDDLE
EAST WHICH HAS DONE THE MOST TO FIGHT SOVIET EXPANSION
IS ISRAEL, BY FIGHTING OFF SOVIET-ARMED SYRIA, AND
EGYPT, WHICH AT THAT TIME WAS SOVIET-ARMED. I KNOW
YOU HAVE PROBLEMS WITH THIS, BUT IF ISRAEL WERE TO
REACH A SETTLEMENT WITH EGYPT, WOULD YOU CONSIDER
ESTABLISHING DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS OF SOME KIND WITH
ISRAEL?

HAO - AT PRESENT, WE HAVE NO RELATIONS WITH ISRAEL,
WHETHER DIPLOMATIC, ECONOMIC, CULTURAL OR OF ANY SORT.
THIS IS BECAUSE WE OPPOSE THE ACTS OF AGGRESSION
CARRIED OUT BY ISRAEL AGAINST THE ARAB PEOPLE.

LEHMAN - MY QUESTION WAS, IF ISRAEL WERE TO REACH
PEACE WITH EGYPT, WOULD YOU RECONSIDER YOUR POSITION?

HAO - THAT IS A QUESTION FOR THE FUTURE. WE WILL SEE
HOW THINGS DEVELOP IN THE FUTURE.

CHILES - YOU TALKED ABOUT THE NEED FOR THE US TO
MAINTAIN ITS ARMED STRENGTH AGAINST THE RUSSIANS, AND
ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF WAR IN 8 TO 10 YEARS. I
WOULD BE INTERESTED IN KNOWING HOW PREPAREC CHINA
WILL BE IN 8 TO 10 YEARS. I HAVE READ ABOUT THE
PROBRAM SET BY THE NATIONAL PEOPLE'S CONGRESS FOR
MODERNIZATION, WHOSE TARGET IS THE YEAR 2000. HOW SOON
AND HOW WILL CHINA GET THE RESOURCES TO BE READY FOR
WAR IN 8 TO 10 YEARS?

HAO - ONE OF THE FOUR MODERNIZATIONS CALLED FOR BY OUR
PROGRAM IS NATIONAL DEFENSE. AFTER 8 YEARS OF HARD
WORK, THAT IS BY 1985, I AM CERTAIN THAT OUR IMLITARY
STRENGTH WILL BE FAR GREATER THAN IT IS NOW. OF
COURSE, IT WILL STILL NOT BE AS GREAT AS YOURS. THE
CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 PEKING 00825 02 OF 04 280723Z

UNITED STATES AND THE SOVIET UNION ARE THE ONLY TWO
WHO CAN UNLEASH A WORLD WAR. FOR VARIOUS REASONS, YOU

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PEKING 00825 03 OF 04 280733Z
ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W
-----010096 280737Z /10

P 280600Z MAR 78
FM USLO PEKING
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0242

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 3 OF 4 PEKING 0825

EXDIS

WILL NOT ATTACK THE SOVIET UNION, BUT THE CONVERSE IS
NOT TRUE; THEY ARE PREPARING TO ATTACK YOU. THEY
ARE ALSO PREPARING TO MAKE WAR ON US. ARE WE AFRAID?
NO. EVEN IF WAR COMES TOMORROW, WE ARE READY TO FIGHT.
WE CHINESE HAVE A TRADITION OF FIGHTING WITH INFERIOR
EQUIPMENT AGAINST ENEMIES WITH SUPERIOR EQUIPMENT.
THIS IS BORN OUT BY OUR HISTORY. OF COURSE IT WOULD BE

FAR BETTER TO FIGHT SUCH A WAR 8 YEARS FROM NOW, OR
EVEN BETTER 23 YEARS. THEN WE COULD WIN SUCH A WAR
WITH MUCH LESS COST. BUT THOUGH AT PRESENT WE ARE STILL
POOR AND WEAK, WE ARE NOT AT ALL AFRAID OF THE SOVIETS.
BENTSEN - I HAVE READ FOREIGN MINISTER HUANG HUA'S
SPEECH TO THE UNITED NATIONS LAST FALL, IN WHICH HE
REFERRED TO THE POLAR BEAR AND US AS SUPERPOWERS WHO
THREATENED THE COUNTRIES OF THE THIRD WORLD. HE ALSO
STATED THAT THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA ALIGNED
ITSELF WITH THE THIRD WORLD. IF NORMALIZATION COMES
ABOUT, WOULD THE PRC STILL FEEL WE HAD AGGRESSIVE
INTENTIONS TOWARD THE THIRD WORLD, OR THAT WE WERE IN
ANY WAY A THREAT TO THE PRC?

HAO - WE HOPE THAT THE UNITED STATES WILL DEVELOP
RELATIONS WITH THE COUNTRIES OF THE THIRD WORLD BASED
ON THE PRINCIPLES OF EQUALITY AND MUTUAL BENEFIT. IF
YOU SUCCEED IN THIS, EVERYTHING WILL BE FINE.
BENTSEN - LET ME ASK A SPECIFIC QUESTION ON TRADE.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PEKING 00825 03 OF 04 280733Z

CHINESE LEADERS HAVE SAID TO ME THAT THE US STILL
DOES NOT GIVE THEM MOST FAVORED NATION TREATMENT -- I
AM ON THE FINANCE COMMITTEE WHICH DEALS WITH THIS --
AND THAT WE STILL WILL NOT SELL ADVANCED COMPUTERS
TO THE PRC. IF WE DID THESE TWO THINGS, WOULD WE
STILL REMAIN A RESIDUAL CUSTOMER OF THE PRC? IN 1974
THERE WAS SUBSTANTIAL TRADE BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES,
BUT IT HAS GROWN LESS SINCE THEN. IF WE MADE THESE
CHANGES, WOULD WE BE TREATED ON A PAR WITH OTHER
COUNTRIES SUCH AS CANADA AND AUSTRALIA?

HAO - I THINK THAT WE SHALL MAINTAIN TRADE RELATIONS
BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES, AND THAT AS THE SITUATION
DEVELOPS, THERE WILL BE CORRESPONDING DEVELOPMENTS IN THIS
FIELD. BUT THIS ALSO REFERS US BACK TO THE OTHER
POINT, NAMELY THAT WITHOUT NORMALIZATION, TRADE AND
OTHER RELATIONS WILL BE AFFECTED, BOTH ON YOUR PART
AND ON OUR PART.

BENTSEN - IN UNDERSTAND. WHAT I AM GETTING AT IS THAT
GIVING YOU MFN PREFERENCE IN TRADE AND SELLING COMPUTERS
WOULD NOT AFFECT OUR TRADE STATISTICS. THAT
DEPENDS ON NORMALIZATION. I FEEL WE WOULD STILL REMAIN
A RESIDUAL CUSTOMER.

HAO - THE FUNDAMENTAL QUESTION IS NORMALIZATION. FOR
INSTANCE, YOUR BUSINESSMEN ARE WILLING TO SELL US
LARGE COMPUTERS OF 10 MILLION BYTES PER SECOND, AND WE
ARE READY TO BUY. BUT YOUR GOVERNMENT IS UNWILLING
TO APPROVE THE SALES BECAUSE RELATIONS ARE NOT YET
NORMALIZED. ON OUR PART, IF THE PRICE IS THE SAME,
AND IF OTHER CONDITIONS ARE THE SAME, OR EVEN IF THE
PRICE IS SLIGHTLY HIGHER, WE WILL STILL TURN TO A

COUNTRY WITH WHOM WE HAVE NORMAL RELATIONS. BUT
TRADE IS A MINOR ISSUE, THE MORE IMPORTANT QUESTION
IS THE STRATEGIC ONE. TELL ME, WHICH COUNTRY IS THE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PEKING 00825 03 OF 04 280733Z

MOST AFRAID OF NORMALIZATION BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES?
BENTSEN - THE POLAR BEAR.

HAO - THAT'S RIGHT.

REUSS - HAVING SAID THAT, YOU HAVE IMPRESSED ON US THAT
THE PRC FEELS THAT NORMALIZATION IS VERY IMPORTANT,
LESS FOR TRADE THAN FOR STRATEGIC REASONS. SINCE WE
SAID BEFORE THAT THERE WILL BE MORE DISCUSSION OF
THIS QUESTION IN THE UNITED STATES IN THE NEXT FEW
MONTHS, PERHAPS YOU CAN TALK ABOUT THAT QUESTIONS THAT
THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WILL ASK. FOR INSTANCE, LET'S
SUPPOSE THAT THE UNITED STATES ACCEPTS YOUR THREE
POINTS. SUPPOSE WE WITHDRAW OUR DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS
FROM TAIWAN, AND SUBSTITUTE A JAPANESE-TYPE ARRANGEMENT.
WE WITHDRAW WHAT IS LEFT OF OUR TROOPS ON THE
ISLAND. AND WE ANNOUNCE THAT THE TREATY WITH TAIWAN
IS A PIECE OF PAPER EQUIVALENT TO THE CHINESE-SOVIET
TREATY, AND YOU TOLD US HOW IMPORTANT THAT IS. IF
ALL THIS HAPPENS, HOW DO YOU EXPECT TALKS BETWEEN THE
PRC AND VARIOUS GROUPS ON TAIWAN TO DEVELOP? WHAT
WOULD BE THE NATURE AND TIMING OF THE ECONOMIC
INTEGRATION OF TAIWAN WITH THE PRC, WHOSE PER CAPITA
INCOME, AS EVERYONE KNOWS, IS MUCH LESS THAN THAT OF
THE PEOPLE ON TAIWAN?

HAO - OUR THREE CONDITIONS FOR NORMALIZATION OF
RELATIONS ARE REASONABLE. ONLY AFTER SATISFYING THEM CAN
NORMALIZATION BE BROUGHT ABOUT. AS TO WHAT POLICIES
WE WILL ADOPT TOWARD TAIWAN, THAT IS ENTIRELY AN
INTERNAL AFFAIR OF OURS. IT HAS NO BEARING ON THE
UNITED STATES, JUST AS US POLICY TOWARD LONG ISLAND
HAS NO BEARING ON US. IN A WORD, WE CAN SAY IT SHOULD
BE DONE IN FULL ACCORDANCE WITH THE PRINCIPLES SET
FORTH IN THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE. THE INTERNAL
AFFAIRS OF A SOVEREIGN COUNTRY BROOK NO OUTSIDE INTERFERENCE.
THE TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY OF A SOVEREIGN
STATE MUST BE RESPECTED FULLY. AS STIPULATED IN THE
SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE, NEITHER SIDE SHOULD SEEK HEGEMONY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 PEKING 00825 03 OF 04 280733Z

IN THE ASIA OR THE PACIFIC REGION. ALL CHINESE ON
BOTH SIDES OF THE TAIWAN STRAIT MAINTAIN THERE IS ONLY
ONE CHINA. THAT IS, THE PRC. THAT IS AN INDIRECT

QUOTE FROM THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE.
REUSS - SPEAKING FOR MYSELF, I AM PERSONALLY ANXIOUS
TO SEE THESE CONDITIONS FULFILLED AND NORMALIZATION

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PEKING 00825 04 OF 04 280743Z
ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W
-----010123 280745Z /10

P 280600Z MAR 78
FM USLO PEKING
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0243

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 4 OF 4 PEKING 0825

EXDIS

ACHIEVED. IT IS THEREFORE IN A MOST FRIENDLY SPIRIT
THAT I SAY THAT PEOPLE IN THE CONGRESS AND IN THE
UNITED STATES ARE TAKING A LIVELY INTEREST IN WHAT
HAPPENS WITHIN THE BORDERS OF SOVEREIGN STATES. FOR
EXAMPLE, CONGRESS IS MOVING TO CUT OFF BANK CREDITS TO
SOUTH AFRICA BECAUSE OF ITS HEGEMONISTIC AND IMPERIALIST
ATTITUDES. AS ANOTHER EXAMPLE, OUR AID DEPENDS ON
HUMAN RIGHTS CONSIDERATIONS. WE HAVE CUT OFF LOANS
BY THE INTER-AMERICAN BANK TO CHILE BECAUSE OF ITS
NEO-FASCIST REGIME. THEREFORE, IT IS FROM THE STANDPOINT
OF ONE WHO DESIRES NORMALIZATION THAT I ASK WHAT
WOULD HAPPEN TO THAT PORTION OF THE PRC THAT
CONSTITUTES THE ISLAND OF TAIWAN (NOTE: THIS SENTENCE WAS NOT
TRANSLATED INTO CHINESE).

HAO - YOUR INTEREST IS ONE MATTER. AS LONG AS YOU DO
NOT INTERFERE IN OUR INTERNAL AFFAIRS, IT WILL BE ALL
RIGHT. WE CHINESE ARE PARTICULARLY SENSITIVE ABOUT
FOREIGN INTERFERENCE BECAUSE FOR OVER 100 YEARS, MANY
COUNTRIES, LARGE AND SMALL, HAVE INTERVENED IN OUR
INTERNAL AFFAIRS.

REUSS - HAS THE PRC MADE ANY EFFORTS TO APPROACH THOSE
WHO NOW STYLE THEMSELVES THE GOVERNMENT OF TAIWAN WITH
A VIEW TO ABSORPTION OF TAIWAN INTO THE PRC?

HAO - FOR ALL THOSE WHO ARE TRULY PATRIOTIC, THAT IS
UP TO THEM TO DECIDE. IF A CHINESE DOES NOT REALLY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PEKING 00825 04 OF 04 280743Z

LOVE HIS COUNTRY, BUT LOVES ANOTHER COUNTRY, WE WILL LET HIM, BUT OUR VIEW IS THAT A CHINESE CITIZEN SHOULD LOVE HIS OWN COUNTRY.

REUSS - ON A RELATED SUBJECT REGARDING THE SOVEREIGNTY OF THE PRC, WHAT IS THE POSITION OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA WITH REGARD TO MACAU, WHICH IS, OF COURSE, CHINESE.

HAO - MACAU AND HONG KONG ARE BOTH PART OF CHINESE TERRITORY. WHEN CONDITIONS ARE RIPE, A SOLUTION MAY BE FOUND THROUGH CONSULTATION.

LEHMAN - I WOULD LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION ON A DIFFERENT SUBJECT. THE QUESTION I AM MOST OFTEN ASKED BY MY CONSTITUENTS IS "WHEN CAN I GO TO CHINA." MOST PEOPLE WHO WANT TO COME TO VISIT CHINA MUST BELONG TO SOME SORT OF ORGANIZATION. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK, WHEN CAN THE RANK AND FILE, AS LONG AS THEY HAVE THE MONEY, COME VISIT CHINA AS THEY WOULD SOME OTHER COUNTRY?

HAO - DO YOU MEAN THAT THE AVERAGE AMERICAN WOULD LIKE TO COME INDIVIDUALLY, NOT AS PART OF A GROUP?

LEHMAN - JUST AS THEY WOULD TO WEST GERMANY OR BRAZIL, FOR INSTANCE. THAT'S THE QUESTION I'LL BE ASKED.

HAO - AS THINGS ARE NOW, MANY AMERICANS ARE COMING TO CHINA. WITH PROPER ARRANGEMENTS WITH CHINA TRAVEL SERVICE, PERMISSION WILL BE GRANTED. USLO OFFICIALS HAVE STATISTICS ON AMERICAN VISITORS TO CHINA IN THE PAST FEW YEARS, I THINK (TURNING TO BOOK). THE NUMBERS ARE GROWING VERY RAPIDLY. AMERICANS RANK IN THE TOP THREE, I BELIEVE. MAYBE NOT THE FIRST, BUT EITHER SECOND OR THIRD.

BOOK - THAT IS WHAT CHINA TRAVEL SERVICE TELLS US.

HAO - OUR INSTITUTE HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE CHINA TRAVEL SERVICE.

CHILES - I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION ON THE MODERNIZATION
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PEKING 00825 04 OF 04 280743Z

OF YOUR ARMY. WILL CHINA PRODUCE ALL THE WEAPONS NEEDED FOR MODERNIZATION, OR WILL IT BUY SOME WEAPONS OR LICENSE TECHNOLOGY FOR BUILDING THEM?

HAO - I KNOW OUR WEAPONS WILL BE MODERNIZED. AS TO HOW, THAT IS UP TO THE DEFENSE MINISTRY. I AM ONLY SURE OF THE GOAL.

REUSS - I HAVE NOTED THAT YOU RECENTLY HAD A SIGNIFICANT SCIENCE CONFERENCE HERE. CAN YOU TELL US SOMETHING ABOUT THE FUTURE COURSE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY? HAVE THERE BEEN SOME CHANGES SINCE THE SMASHING OF THE GANG OF FOUR?

HAO - THE NATIONAL SCIENCE CONFERENCE NOW BEING HELD IS A MAGNIFICENT EVENT, UNPRECEDENTED IN THE HISTORY OF NEW CHINA. IT IS THE FIRST SINCE THE FOUNDING OF NEW CHINA. PARTICIPANTS ARE EXCHANGING EXPERIENCES IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, AND ARE MAPPING OUT 3-YEAR AND 8-YEAR PLANS, AS WELL AS A 23-YEAR PROJECTION. THE REALIZATION OF THE FOUR MODERNIZATIONS IS THE GENERAL TASK FACING THE NATION IN THE NEW PERIOD OF DEVELOPMENT. WE MUST BRING ABOUT MODERNIZATION BY ALL MEANS. COMPARED WITH ADVANCED COUNTRIES, OUR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY GAP IS WIDE IN SOME FIELDS, LESS WIDE IN OTHERS. SINCE THE FOUNDING OF THE PRC, THERE HAS BEEN A CERTAIN DEVELOPMENT IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. OUR PRINCIPLE IS TO RELY ON THE WISDOM AND TALENTS OF THE ENTIRE CHINESE PEOPLE, WHILE AT THE SAME TIME ABSORBING ADVANCED TECHNIQUES FROM ADVANCED COUNTRIES. IT IS ERRONEOUS NOT TO ATTACH PROPER ATTENTION AND IMPORTANCE TO ACHIEVEMENTS ALREADY MADE OVER THE LAST 28 YEARS, BUT IT WOULD ALSO BE WRONG NOT TO INTRODUCE ADVANCED TECHNIQUES FROM OTHER COUNTRIES OF THE WORLD. WE ALSO HAVE TO LEARN FROM THE UNITED STATES, WHATEVER WE ARE ALLOWED TO. WHATEVER WE ARE NOT ALLOWED TO, WE WILL HAVE TO GIVE UP.

REUSS - NORMALIZATION WOULD BE A HELP THERE TOO, WOULDN'T IT?

HAO - YES, IT WILL BE MUCH BETTER AFTER NORMALIZATION.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 PEKING 00825 04 OF 04 280743Z

WE MAY ALSO HAVE SOMETHING TO OFFER YOU. AS A WHOLE, CHINA LAGS BEHIND, BUT NOT IN ALL FIELDS. AS A WHOLE, THE US IS ADVANCED, BUT NOT IN ALL THINGS.

REUSS - I HOPE PERSONALLY TO PAY TRIBUTE TO CHINESE SCIENCE BY TRYING ACUPUNCTURE TOMORROW.

HAO - YES, WE HAVE SCORED SOME ACHIEVEMENTS THERE. BUT IT'S OUR JOB TO LEARN FROM YOU.

REUSS - ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?.....YOU HAVE BEEN MOST HOSPITABLE, PATIENT AND FORTHCOMING. ON BEHALF OF ALL OF US, I WISH TO THANK YOU.

WOODCOCK

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 28 mar 1978
Decaption Date: 20 Mar 2014
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978PEKING00825
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: CORE1
Expiration:
Film Number: D780134-0066
Format: TEL
From: PEKING
Handling Restrictions:
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780318/aaaaapbl.tel
Line Count: 428
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON MICROFILM, ADS TEXT UNRETRIEVABLE
Message ID: ec3c81bc-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY
Review Date: 11 may 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3182512
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CODEL REUSS/BENTSEN MEETING MARCH 26
TAGS: OREP, CH, US, TW, UR, (REUSS, HENRY)
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/ec3c81bc-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014